

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/611,660	06/30/2003	Paul F. Dietrich	6561/53769	1980
30505 7 Law Office of M	7590 02/22/2008 Mark J. Spolvar	EXAMINER		
38 Fountain Street			HARPER, KEVIN C	
San Francisco, CA 94114			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2616	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/22/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary		10/611,660	DIETRICH ET AL.	DIETRICH ET AL.	
		Examiner	Art Unit		
		Kevin Harper	2616		
 Period for	The MAILING DATE of this communication a Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence ad	dress	
WHICH - Extensi after SI - If NO pi - Failure Any rep	RTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REI IEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING ons of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR X (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. eriod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by sta dry received by the Office later than three months after the may patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNI 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a iod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO atute, cause the application to become A	CATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this co BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status					
1)⊠ F	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13	3 December 2007		·	
		his action is non-final.			
· <u> </u>	ince this application is in condition for allow		ters, prosecution as to the	merits is	
	losed in accordance with the practice unde	•	• •		
· _	n of Claims		·		
	claim(s) <u>5-18,20-24 and 26-34</u> is/are pendi	• .			
	a) Of the above claim(s) is/are without				
5)⊠ C	claim(s) <u>5-8,15,24 and 26-34</u> is/are allowed	d.			
6)⊠ C	laim(s) <u>9-14,16-18 and 20-23</u> is/are reject	ed.	•		
7) 🗌 C	claim(s) is/are objected to.	•			
8)□ C	claim(s) are subject to restriction and	d/or election requirement.			
Applicatio	n Papers				
9)∐ TI	ne specification is objected to by the Exam	iner.			
•	ne drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a		by the Examiner.		
-	pplicant may not request that any objection to t	·	•		
	eplacement drawing sheet(s) including the corr			R 1.121(d).	
	ne oath or declaration is objected to by the	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
Priority un	der 35 U.S.C. § 119			. •	
a) [cknowledgment is made of a claim for fore All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority docume Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Burnet the attached detailed Office action for a least content of the priority document.	ents have been received. ents have been received in A riority documents have beer eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No received in this National	Stage	
Attachment(s	·).				
1) Notice	of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)		
2) Notice (3) Informa	of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) tion Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) lo(s)/Mail Date	Paper No	s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application		

Response to Arguments.

Applicant's arguments filed December 13, 2007 have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, the indicated allowability of claims 9-14, 16-18 and 20-23 is hereby withdrawn and a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of Iyer et al.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claims 9-14, 16-18 and 20-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Iyer et al. (US 2005/0254474) in view of Lynn et al. (US 2007/0192870).

1. Regarding claims 9, 11-12, 16-18, 20 and 22-23, Iyer discloses a method for containing rogue access points in a wireless network in which stations terminate connections upon receipt of deauthentication frames (para. 22; para. 70-72; para. 76). The method comprises detecting a rouge access point (paras. 30-31), identified by a wireless network address (fig. 6; paras. 47-49), selecting at least one authorized access point (para. 76), emulating the rogue access point and transmitting connection-terminating frames to terminate connections between the rogue access point and the wireless client (paras. 68-69 and 71-72; note: the AM sends a deauthentication frame on behalf of the AP). Further regarding claim 16, Iyer discloses a rogue containment device (figs. 11-12, item 120) comprising an inherent network interface (fig. 1) connected to a computer network to communicate with at least one network device (item 110) comprising a rouge containment module (fig. 11, VWMAC and RAPW-MAC; para. 66-67) to receive data characterizing a rouge access point (fig. 11, item 1200) and configuring one or more wireless network access devices to emulate the rouge access

10/611,660 Art Unit: 2616

point (para. 68, DENIAL_OF_SERVICE message) and send connection terminating frames (para. 69-72; note: a DEAUTHENTICATION message is sent from the AM to the STA with the SA of the AP).

- 2. However, Iyer does not disclose that the connection terminating frames are sent at a repetition interval. However, Lynn discloses that a mobile station re-authenticates when it receives a deauthentication message (para. 130; DoS Attack). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to send connection terminating frames at a repetition interval in the invention of Iyer in order to block future connection attempts (Iyer, para. 69, lines 1-5; Lynn, para. 130, DoS Attack).
- 3. Regarding claims 13 and 21, Iyer does not disclose transmitting disassociation frames.

 However, Lynn discloses transmitting disassociation frames (para. 130, DoS Attack). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to transmit disassociation frames in the invention of Iyer in order to terminate connections (Lynn, para. 130, Dos Attack).
- 4. Regarding claims 10 and 14, Iyer discloses that the source of the connection terminating frame is the rogue access point (para. 72; para. 70).

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 5-8, 15, 24 and 26-34 are allowed.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kevin Harper whose telephone number is 571-272-3166. The examiner can normally be reached weekdays from 11:00 AM to 7:00 PM ET.

10/611,660

Art Unit: 2616

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lynn Feild, can be reached at 571-272-2092. The centralized fax number for the Patent Office is 571-273-8300. For non-official communications, the examiner's personal fax number is 571-273-3166 and the examiner's e-mail address is kevin.harper@uspto.gov.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications associated with a customer number is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see portal uspto gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Kevin C. Harper

February 17, 2008